

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/638,179	08/07/2003	Ulrich Birnbaum	DT-6591	3742
30377 DAVID TORE	7590 03/28/200 N FSO	EXAMINER		
ABELMAN FRAYNE & SCHWAB			MACARTHUR, VICTOR L	
666 THIRD AT NEW YORK.	VENUE NY 10017-5621		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

1. Amendments to the specification:

A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.
 B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.
 C. Other ______.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/638,179	BIRNBAUM ET	AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
VICTOR MACARTHUR	3670	

The amendment document filed on <u>15 January 2008</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

	A. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other				
	□ S. Amendments to the drawings: □ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top marger and the state of the sta	has been eliminated. Replacement drawings			
		is identifier, and as such, the individual status every claim must be indicated after its claim (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), wn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).			
	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in acco	rdance with 37 CFR 1.4):			
Fo	or further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.1	21, see MPEP § 714.			
TIN	IME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:				
1.	. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendm filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-complia entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.				
2.	Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.				
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action				
	Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendm filled in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment amendment.				
	/Victor MacArthur/	571-272-7085			
	Patent Examiner	Telephone No.			
1.5.	8. Patent and Trademark Office	Part of Paper No. 20080325			

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324) Application No. 10/638,179

Continuation of 4(e) Other:

It appears that applicant is altempting to amend the claims with respect to one or more of the previously submitted versions that were Non-Compliant and not entered (i.e., non-compliant filings of 1/25/2007, 6/26/2007, and 10/22/2007). Applicant must amend the claims with respect to the last entered version (i.e., the version filed 8/4/2006) and properly show all changes therefrom. For instance, note that claim 2 as filed on 8/4/2006 depended from claim 1 whereas the 1/15/2008 version proposes for claim 2 to depend from claim 22 without showing any changes with strikethrough or undefining.